GARY P. KAST

attorney at law 8618 Saloma Avenue Panorama City,CA 91402 (818)892-5498 fax (818)892-0092

November 5, 2009

Regional Hearing Clerk USEPA, Region IX 75 Hawthorne St San Francisco, CA 94105

Re: In re the MATTER OF CSC TRADING.INC AND RICK BARONE dba R&B Recycling Docket No. CAA 09-2009-0029

Dear Clerk

I enclose the Answer of Respondents for filing in the above referenced matter, along with a copy for conforming with a SASE to facilitate the easy return to me. If there are any problems please call me to let me know at the above number or cell (818)292-6108.

Thank you for your anticipated courtesy.

Sincerely yours,

Ench as above

1 GARY P. KAST ESQ. State Bar No. 86210 2000 MGY -6 PH 2:3 2 8618 Saloma Ave. Panorama City, CA 91402 HEGIONAL BLARING CLERK 3 (818) 892-5498; email. kayakesq@aol.com 4 Attorneys for Respondent CSC Trading, Inc and Rick Barone dba R&B Recycling 5 6 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 7 REGION IX 75 HAWTHORNE STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 8 9 10 In the Matter of: DOCKET NO. CAA 09-2009-0029 11 CSC TRADING, INC, and RICK RESPONSE OF RESPONDENT BARONE dba R&B RECYCLING CSC TRADING, INC 12 Respondents. 13 Comes now CSC Trading, Inc and Rick Barone dba R&B Recycling who answer the 14 above entitled complaint as follows: 15 Generally it is the position of respondents that the referenced Rail Road Cars were not 16 "structures" or a "Ship" under the act and that "recycling" or salvaging them is not 17 "demolishing". Further it is respondents belief that there was no asbestos containing 18 material anywhere in the rail road passenger cars and that the method of recycling kept 19 any dust down to a minimum. Retaining the right to later amend to elucidate 20 affirmative defenses respondent further answers the specific paragraphs of the complaint 21 as follows: 22 Admits paragraph 1 and 2. 23 Denies for the above reasons paragraphs 3,4,5,6,8,9,10,12. 24 As to paragraphs 7 respondent has insufficient information and on that sufficient basis 25 alone denies each and every allegation as well as alleges that ""suspect" asbestos 26 containing material in the form of flooring" is legally irrelevant nor is there allegation 27 that any of the alleged "structures" contained sufficient "suspect"flooring to be under the 28

ANSWER OF RESPONDENTS

aegis of epa.

As to paragraph 11 respondents admit that neither of them submitted a "written notice of intention to demolish" the railroad passenger cars before taking steps to salvage them, but deny that any such requirement exists for the reasons that the railroad passenger cars were "vehicles" not "structures" and were "recycled", not "demolished". I believe that we have reached a compromise settlement with the assistant regional counsel handling this case so that a hearing is probably not necessary but certain documents have to be drawn first so this answer is filed to prevent default while the agreed settlement is finalized.

Respectfully submitted

ttorney for Respondents

1	
2	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL and FAX
3	1. I am a resident of Los Angeles County, am over 18 years of age, and not a party to
4	the within cause; My address is 8618 Saloma Ave, Panorama City,CA 91402
5	2. I served the Response to Complaint by depositing a true copy of same, enclosed in a
6	sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, in the U.S. Mail at Van Nuys, CA on
7	November 5, 2009 addressed:
8	Carol Bussey Esq Assistant Regional Counsel (ORC-2)
9	USEPA, Region IX 75 Hawthorne St San Francisco, CA 94105
10	AND BY FAXING A COPY on the same date to Carol Bussey at 415-947-3570
11	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
12	Executed at Van Nuys, California on November 5, 2009
13	
14	GARY KAST Jany Ke
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	